

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 EAGLE WEST INSURANCE COMPANY,
11 as subrogee of Brian C. Fosberg,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

15 Defendant.

Case No. C18-1573 RSM

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
FOR INITIAL SCHEDULING
DEADLINES

16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time. Dkt.
17 #7. Plaintiff moves to extend deadlines for initial disclosures and the joint status report.
18 Defendant United States of America has not responded because it has not made an appearance.
19 Plaintiff states that Defendant has been served, however a review of the docket indicates that the
20 Summons and Complaint have only been delivered to the Attorney General in Washington D.C.
21 See Dkt. #5. There is no evidence that these documents have been delivered or mailed to the
22 United States attorney in this district, as is required by Rule 4(i). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(i).
23 Accordingly, the Court believes Plaintiff has failed to serve the United States. Until Plaintiff
24 properly serves the United States, it cannot argue good cause for an extension of these now-
25
26
27
28

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR INITIAL
SCHEDULING DEADLINES - 1

1 expired deadlines. Failure to timely serve the United States, without good cause for the delay,
2 may result in the eventual dismissal of this case without prejudice. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

3 Having reviewed the relevant briefing and the record, the Court hereby finds that good
4 cause exists and ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time (Dkt. #7) is DENIED.
5 Nothing in this Order prevents Plaintiff from seeking similar relief again once it can
6 demonstrate good cause.
7

8 DATED this 2nd day of January 2019.

9
10 

11 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28